UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHARLES ANTHONY BROOKS,

Plaintiff,

v.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

M. LUNDI, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. <u>17-cv-05105-YGR</u> (PR)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT **PREJUDICE**

Plaintiff filed the present pro se prisoner complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On October 12, 2017, mail directed to Plaintiff by the Court was returned to the Clerk of the Court with the following notations: "RETURN TO SENDER. NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED. UNABLE TO FORWARD" and "RTS PAROLE." Dkt. 15. To date, Plaintiff has not updated his address with the Court or submitted any further pleadings in this case.

Pursuant to Northern District Local Rule 3-11 a party proceeding pro se whose address changes while an action is pending must promptly file a notice of change of address specifying the new address. See L.R. 3-11(a). The Court may dismiss without prejudice a complaint when: (1) mail directed to the *pro se* party by the Court has been returned to the Court as not deliverable, and (2) the Court fails to receive within sixty days of this return a written communication from the pro se party indicating a current address. See L.R. 3-11(b).

More than sixty days have passed since the mail directed to Plaintiff by the Court was returned as undeliverable. The Court has not received a notice from Plaintiff of a new address. Accordingly, the complaint is DISMISSED without prejudice pursuant to Rule 3-11 of the Northern District Local Rules. The Clerk shall terminate all pending motions and close the file. IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 15, 2017

United States District Court Judge

27

28